

[illegible]

: ss@jh.org Steve Steinberg Amateur Radio Callsign: KB2???

I don't think Lan-Link can be called pd. It was shareware the last time I looked. Take a look at my freeware (TM) package, SimpTerm. It can be found in /SimTel/msdos/hamradio as simptr21.zip. It is generic and will run on most of the popular TNCs on the market. It doesn't cost you anything and you can sleep nights without worrying about beating out that shareware author of a buck!

Jim.

--

Jim Lynch, Sales Analyst, Cray Research, Inc. / ARS: K4GV0
Southeast District, Phone: (404) 631-2254, Email: jwl@cray.com
Suite 270, 200 Westpark Drive, Peachtree City, GA 30269

Date: 23 Sep 94 09:23:31 CDT
From: timbuk.cray.com!walter.cray.com!jwl@uunet.uu.net
Subject: JNOS on Linux
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

I asked a question about a problem with the keyboard on JNOS and received a very nice reply from Brandon (kf8nh), but had another question. Unfortunately, the mail bounced, so I am posting a question to him. My most humble apologies for using net bandwidth for personal messages, but maybe this will help others.

----- Transcript of session follows -----

451 <bsa@kf8nh.wariat.org>... reply: read error from po.cwru.edu.
501 <bsa@kf8nh.wariat.org>... 550 Host unknown (Name server: usenet.ins.cwru.: host not found)

----- Original message follows -----

To: bsa@kf8nh.wariat.org
Subject: Re: Jnos on Linux

Brandon,

Thanks for the terminfo entry. I'll give it a try. I understand (I think) what you said, but why don't the function keys work when I'm just using the Linux console (not running X) and what might I have to do to fix it?

Thanks,
73 de Jim.

Jim Lynch, Sales Analyst, Cray Research, Inc. / ARS: K4GV0
Southeast District, Phone: (404) 631-2254, Email: jwl@cray.com
Suite 270, 200 Westpark Drive, Peachtree City, GA 30269

Date: 22 Sep 1994 15:17:37 GMT
From: thecourier.cims.nyu.edu!longlast.cs.nyu.edu!jackson@nyu.arpa
Subject: passive bulletin distribution
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

Question re: bulletin distribution

First, I presume that a main BBS distributes bulletins to other BBSes in its area. Suppose a distributor has five or six BBSes to distribute to. Could bandwidth be saved if, while the distributor is sending the bulletin to one recipient, the other five listen in and store the bulletins for themselves? That way, when the distributor connects to the next machine, it could send a table of contents and await a reply from the intended recipient indicating the bulletins it has not received to date.

Basically, since I'm an R0 station, I'd like to leave my machine on all day and capture all the bulletins as they are transferred on a network backbone. Then, I can read them when I get home. Or better yet, read them on a (physically) networked machine so I won't disturb the ongoing receipt of bulletins.

Comments?

--
Steven Jackson, Assistant to the Chair of Computer Science
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University
251 Mercer Street, NY NY 10012

```

                                         +-----IMPORTANT:-----+
|<-----These 2 DEAD after 9/23/94----->|<-starts 9/24/94-->|
|      Work              <-- (forwarded)      |
|jackson@cs.nyu.edu, jcksnste@acfccluster.nyu.edu, |jacksons@cs.nyu.edu|
+-----+-----+

```

Date: 23 Sep 1994 03:32:42 GMT
From: news.uiowa.edu!panda@uunet.uu.net
Subject: TEST

To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

In note <940920183508104@aznetig.stat.com>, daniel.meredith@aznetig.stat.com
(Daniel Meredith) writes:

>TEST

They worked! There's like 6 of them in here.. you're in for being flamed if
you don't quit sending tests 8-)

Date: Thu, 22 Sep 94 12:17:10 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!doc.ic.ac.uk!cs.city.ac.uk!city!
nick@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Unix vs DOS vs OS/2 vs NT

To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

In article <Cw74yF.5Jo@kd3bj.uucp>, C. T. Nadovich <chris@kd3bj.uucp> wrote:
>DOS is just a poorly implemented Unix.

DOS (I assume you mean ms-dos) is nothing like Unix - it doesn't even
multitask!

There's a list somewhere of everything an OS has to do in order to be
called a "unix clone", and I think DOS manages less than 5% of them.
There's also a list of what ANY operating system is supposed to do, and
DOS doesn't manage many of THEM either! This means that DOS is not even
truly an operating-system - it's more of a "program runner" with a
little bit of "memory management" thrown in.

--

Nick Waterman - inet nick@cimio.co.uk - ax25 G7RZQ @ GB7GFD.#42.GBR.EU

"We have no need of weapons, brother - we wear protective herbs."

None of the opinions above belong to anybody at all, probably.

End of Ham-Digital Digest V94 #317
